Finding Data on Local Inequities



Inequities can exist within any population-level outcomes. If inequities are not uncovered, understood, and addressed directly, the strategies you use to improve local health outcomes could inadvertently exacerbate inequities instead of reduce them. For example:

- Strategies can Exacerbate Inequities in Access: The addition of interventions, health supports, or program slots typically benefits constituents with higher resources because they are better able to access those opportunities (e.g., because they have transportation, knowledge of the program, accommodating work schedules, money, social support, etc.)
- Strategies can Exacerbate Inequities in Who Benefits: Some interventions or evidenced-based practices disproportionally benefit some types of constituents over others the spread of these practices could lead to greater inequities in health outcomes.

What demographic categories could you explore?

The following are examples of demographic groups you could explore in your data to understand who is experiencing your impact or problem:

Targeted Impact:						
Outcomes related to this Impact:						
Example demographic categories with sub-groups		What data do you currently have that is disaggregated by these groups?	What other data do you still need? How can you access it?			
Race						
	African American					
	Asian					
	Latino/Hispanic					
	White					
	Native American					
	Multiple					
	Other					
Ethnicity						
	Hispanic or Latino or Spanish					
	Origin					
	Not Hispanic or Latino or					
	Spanish Origin					
Education						
	No HS Diploma					
	HS Diploma/GED					
	Some College					
	College/Vocational					



These materials are copyrighted by Michigan State University. You have permission to make copies for your organization or effort's use. You may not distribute, copy, or otherwise reproduce any portion of these materials for sale or for commercial use. For more information visit ablechange.msu.edu

Finding Data on Local Inequities



		1	
Geography			
	Urban		
	Rural		
	Suburban		
	Specific Neighborhoods:		
Incon	ne		
	Less than IOK		
	10K-20K		
	21K-35K		
	35K and up		
Gend	ler		
	Female		
	Male		
	Non-binary/ third gender		
Age			
	Under 5		
	5-17		
	18-24		
	25-44		
	45-64		
	65+		
Emple	oyment		
	Employed		
	Unemployed		
	Underemployed		
Hous	sehold Type		
	Single parent		
	2 or more parents		
	Grandparents		
	Other		
Connection to Services			
	Highly connected		
	Moderately connected		
	Disconnected		
English Language Proficiency			
	English Proficient		
	Low English Proficiency		

Finding Data on Local Inequities



What Demographic Combinations should we explore?

It is important to remember that individuals belong to multiple groups (e.g., income, race, gender) and the largest inequities often exist within these intersections. Which demographic combinations do you want to explore related to your Impact?

	Which demographic combinations should we explore related our Targeted Problem?	What data can we use to understand these combinations?
Combination I	Demographic Category 1:	
	Demographic Category 2:	
	Demographic Category #:	
Combination 2	Demographic Category 1:	
	Demographic Category 2:	
	Demographic Category #:	
Combination #	Demographic Category 1:	
	Demographic Category 2:	
	Demographic Category #:	

EXAMPLE: Percent of Babies born with Low birthweight by mother's race and age

	13-19 years	over 20 years
African American:	17.9%	14.5%
Asian:	9.7%	8.3%
Latino/Hispanic:	12.5%	9.1%
White:	10.3%	7.4%
Native American	14.7%	8.5

Note how in the example above, the inequities in low birthweight across racial groups are even greater for mothers 12-19 years of age compared to mothers over 20. This comparison would suggest future efforts in this community could focus on African American and Native American teenage mothers.

